

Content-Adaptive Parallax Barriers for Automultiscopic 3D Display

Douglas Lanman*
Brown University

Matthew Hirsch
MIT Media Lab

Yunhee Kim
MIT Media Lab

Ramesh Raskar
MIT Media Lab




Figure 1: Automultiscopic 3D display with content-adaptive parallax barriers. (Left) A 4D light field, represented as a set of oblique projections of a synthetic scene, is displayed using our dual-stacked LCD prototype, with corresponding photographs shown in the overlaid region. (Right) A pair of content-adaptive parallax barriers, drawn from a rank-15 decomposition of the reshaped 4D light field matrix. Such masks allow increased display brightness and frame rate, when compared to conventional parallax barriers [Konrad and Halle 2007].

Abstract

We optimize the performance of automultiscopic barrier-based displays, constructed by stacking a pair of LCD panels. To date, such displays have conventionally employed heuristically-determined parallax barriers, containing a fixed array of slits or pinholes, to provide view-dependent imagery. While recent methods adapt barriers to one or more viewers, we show that both layers can be adapted to the multi-view content as well. The resulting *content-adaptive parallax barriers* increase display brightness and frame rate. We prove that any 4D light field created by dual-stacked LCDs is the tensor product of two 2D mask functions. Thus, a pair of 1D masks only achieves a rank-1 approximation of a 2D light field. We demonstrate higher-rank approximations using temporal multiplexing.

1 Content-Adaptive Parallax Barriers

We define a pair of 2D masks $\mathbf{f}[i, j]$ and $\mathbf{g}[k, l]$, corresponding to the images displayed on the front and rear LCD panels, respectively. A 2D slice of the 4D light field is given by the outer product

$$\mathbf{L}[i, k] = \mathbf{f}[i] \otimes \mathbf{g}[k] = \mathbf{f}[i] \mathbf{g}^T[k]. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the complete 4D light field is given by the tensor product

$$\mathbf{L}[i, j, k, l] = \mathbf{f}[i, j] \otimes \mathbf{g}[k, l]. \quad (2)$$

These expressions imply a fixed mask pair only produces a rank-1 approximation of a 2D light field matrix. To our knowledge, this limitation has not been previously described for dual-layer displays.

Conventional parallax barriers result in reduced spatial resolution and image brightness. Recently, translated barriers have been proposed to eliminate spatial resolution loss [Kim et al. 2007]; here, a high-speed LCD sequentially displays a series of translated barriers. If the complete mask set is displayed faster than the flicker fusion threshold, no spatial resolution loss will be perceived.

*e-mail: dlanman@brown.edu

We generalize the concept of temporal multiplexing by considering all possible mask pairs. Any sequence of T mask pairs represents (at most) a rank- T decomposition of a 2D light field matrix as

$$\mathbf{L}[i, k] = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{f}_t[i] \otimes \mathbf{g}_t[k] = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{f}_t[i] \mathbf{g}_t^T[k]. \quad (3)$$

Thus, time-multiplexed light field display can be cast as a matrix (or more generally a tensor) approximation problem. Specifically, the light field matrix must be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{L} \approx \mathbf{F} \mathbf{G}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} are $N_i \times T$ and $T \times N_k$, respectively. Since each mask must be non-negative, we seek a decomposition such that

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{G}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2, \text{ for } \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Unlike conventional barriers, we allow a flexible field of view tuned to one or more viewers by specifying elements of the weight matrix \mathbf{W} . General 4D light fields are handled by reordering them as 2D matrices, whereas 2D masks are reordered as vectors.

Equation 5 can be solved using non-negative matrix factorization [Lee and Seung 1999], with typical results shown above. In conclusion, we propose the resulting *content-adaptive parallax barriers* as a generalization of conventional barriers, in which both layers are jointly optimized depending on the multi-view content.

References

- KIM, Y., KIM, J., KANG, J.-M., JUNG, J.-H., CHOI, H., AND LEE, B. 2007. Point light source integral imaging with improved resolution and viewing angle by the use of electrically movable pinhole array. *Optics Express* 15, 26, 18253–18267.
- KONRAD, J., AND HALLE, M. 2007. 3-D displays and signal processing. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 24, 6, 97–111.
- LEE, D. D., AND SEUNG, H. S. 1999. Learning the parts of objects by non-negative matrix factorization. *Nature* 401, 788–791.